

**Joint Intermodal Working Group (JWIG)
Meeting Minutes
2 Dec 2008**

Standards Committee (SC) Update

- Joint Modular Intermodal Container (JMIC) Interface MIL-STD Status
 - Final Draft JMIC Interface MIL-STD released for full coordination review 12 Jun 08
 - Comment period was to close 23 Aug 23 08 but was requested to be extended and closed 6 Sep 08
 - 66 comments were received. All comments were consolidated into one list and draft responses have been prepared for each comment
 - Last JIWG SC meeting was 5-6 Nov 08 to address and resolve JMIC MIL-STD review comments
 - Question raised by JS J4 rep was why the intent is to use the JMIC for intra-theater ops only. JMIC doesn't meet the standard for use across the distribution system because it isn't compatible with DLA equipment. More discussion to follow.
- New Standards Committee Chair
 - Per the Charter, the Chair rotates every two years
 - The Chair transitions to the Air Force for FY 09 and 10. New chair:
Robbin Miller
Chief of the Air Force Packaging Technology and Engineering Facility (AFPTEF)
403 SCMS/GUEB
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH
937-257-3362, DSN 787-3362, Robbin.Miller@wpafb.af.mil

SDDC Update (SDDC)

- Army Intermodal and Distribution Platform Management Office (AIDPMO) Assignment
 - AMC DCG endorsed transfer of AIDPMO to SDDC in Sep 08. DA G-4, USTRANSCOM, AMC, SDDC, and LOGSA approved transfer
 - SDDC now has both Global Container Manager (GCM) and AIDPMO's mission as the Army Single Manager to control intermodal assets throughout the life-cycle: lease, purchase, inventory and maintenance of all owned/leased intermodal platform systems
 - Relationship between SDDC and AIDPMO as written in charter wasn't clear to all members
- AIDPMO Leasing Update
 - Processed 311 delivery orders totaling 7401 pieces of equipment for FY08
- DOD Biannual Container Inventory 2008
 - Army granted 90 day extension for container inventory. Extension won't be publicized.
 - Percent complete:

	Owner Verified	Location Verified
Army	31%	60%
Air Force	0%	36%
Marine Corp	1%	5%
Navy	49%	12%
Other DOD	0%	22%

- GWOT Container Buyouts
 - Total Buyouts 82,224
 - Dry
 - Reefer
 - Flat rack

- Preposition of Ammo Containers

CONT. REQUIREMENTS FOR 33% POSITIONED BOXES PER DEPOT BY SERVICE					
DEPOT	CONTAINERS	AIR FORCE	ARMY	MARINES	NAVY
BGAD	300	87	213	0	0
CAAA	300	77	95	118	10
MCAAP	300	114	74	50	62
TEAD	300	40	148	112	0
LEMC	100	51	25	24	0
TOTALS	1300	369	555	304	72

- Ammo Container Retrograde CONOPS
 - Identify Ammunition Grade containers in theater for retrograde to CONUS
 - AIDPMO/Joint Munitions Command (JMC) coordinate for shipment location
 - Coordinate movement and required operations to move containers to port for export
 - Export ammo grade containers to CONUS Port
 - AIDPMO coordinate movement thru SDDC port for movement to JMC Depot
 - JMC Depot inspect and repair as necessary to return to inventory for ammunition shipments
- AIDPMO stressed that ammo grade container fleet is aging. Need to start looking at replacement.
- Point was made that Rough Terrain Container Handlers (RTCHs) need to be repositioned to enable retrograde of containers from the CENTCOM AOR
- SDDC seeking requests for changes to container leasing contracts/programs and/or suggestions for improving the container inventory process from Services
- Army G-4 is interested in hearing ideas related to container management
- Suggestion was made to tag containers while they are being inventoried to reduce or eliminate future inventories
- Group expressed interest in current state of the ocean carrier market and its impact on military operations. Mr. Adams (USTRANSCOM) will invite industry representatives to speak at next JIWG.

- Army G-4 rep expressed concern that the Universal Service Contract (USC) lacks contingency clauses that efficiently support Army container use requirements.

DoD Container Policy (OSD)

- Container Payment Policy
 - Army is designated the DoD Component for payment of detention charges in the USCENCOM AOR through FY08. Commercial Venders are responsible for paying their own detention.
 - Beginning in FY09, all container detention charges for contingency operations will be assessed against the lead Military Service, as specified by the Supported COCOM
 - Commercial Venders are responsible for paying their own detention
 - Under an existing executive agency the Army pays detention for containers consigned to AAFES
 - For non-contingency operations, detention continues to be paid by the responsible party IAW DTR, Part II
- OSD-ATL releasing draft container guidance policy memo to Services, DLA, JSJ4, USTRANSCOM for GO/FO/SES coordination due 7 JAN 09
 - The Policy Memo (to be incorporated in DoDI 4500.57)
 - Assigns Responsibilities to DLA, Services, COCOMS, and Agencies.
 - Container priority of use:
 - Government owned, then leased, then USC
 - Reverse order priority for return of containers
 - Avoid transload operations
 - Maintains Supported COCOM's flexibility (can violate)
 - Supported COCOM designates the lead Military Service by country to pay detention
 - Services POM for containers, as assessed above; DLA buys centrally
 - Services buy and maintain, but report data to AIDPMO, as required by USTRANSCOM assessment
- OSD (COL Thomas) will look at Foreign Military Sales (FMS) detention costs.

Lean Six Sigma Container Management Out-brief (SDDC)

- Objectives:
 - Execute data collection plan
 - Validate key measurement variables
 - Prepare for transition to Analysis phase
- Summary of team activities
 - Container Management Element (CME)/Movement Control Battalion (MCB) team process review
 - CME/MCB process mapping
 - CME reports
 - Developed options for new CME report
 - Production efforts
 - Assessed CME/MCB:
 - Roles and responsibilities

- Data sources
- Process opportunities
- Forward Operating Base (FOB) process team (Iraq and Kuwait)
 - Reviewed Container Control Activity (CCA)/Container Control Officer (CCO) responsibilities
 - Met with process owners
 - Mapped unit/consignee container management (CM) processes
 - Collected process data

Joint Intermodal Platform Management System (JIPMS) Update (TCJ6)

- Army Container Asset Management System (ACAMS) and Integrated Booking System-Container Management Module (IBS-CMM) are operating semi-independently in a data sharing environment
- Data sharing provides:
 - Simplified log-in for all users
 - Reduction in interface maintenance
 - Common user experience
 - The most cost effective solution with a cost avoidance of \$3.3M (FY07-14)
 - Reduction in data quality/data integrity errors
 - Exposure of data for use by other systems that may require container information
 - Container/platform data would be obtained from authoritative sources
- What we've done:
 - Developed an enterprise level solution for platform management
 - Users obtain data from either system via a single terminal
- How we did it:
 - ACAMS & IBS-CMM operating cooperatively in a data sharing environment
 - Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) enablement of ACAMS facilitates migration into the Common Operational Picture for Deployment and Distribution (COP D2) corporate service
 - One stop shopping through Global Combat Support System-Joint (GCSS-J)
- End State:
 - Users can elect to evolve to a single database if desired
 - No FY09 funds available
 - Integrate functionality of Asset Inventory Management System (AIMS) into ACAMS
 - Evolve solution towards Corporate Service Vision (CSV)
 - All JIWG functional requirements translated into operational capabilities
- Next Step – JIPMS +
 - Web Service vs. Replication vs. Single System
 - Single Sign On (SSO)
 - Incorporation of CDM Marvel Tool and Conveyance Estimator
 - Latitude / Longitude conversion application to “Location Codes”
 - Load Planning Capability
 - Integration of JIPMS+ into a Service Oriented Architectural (SOA) environment
 - Realization of Joint Deployment and Distribution Enterprise (JDDE) Corporate Services Vision (CSV)

- Satellite Tag capability to support Global Container Management community
- Final Actions
 - Close JIPMS as an active JIWG interest item
 - All JIWG functional requirements satisfied
 - CBAT complete and BCA alternative accomplished
 - USTC J6, USTC J5/4-I and GCM will continue to work JIPMS sustainment / integration

Premium Service Devise (PSD) Overview (TCJ6)

- PSD Project Concept
 - Purpose is to provide visibility of high value assets in austere locations that lack infrastructure.
 - Phase 1: Military Utility
 - INPUT: Subject commercial off the Shelf PSD to harsh climatic environments
 - Operational environmental climatic conditions (MIL-STD 810F/ISO Reference Number 10374.2)
 - OUTPUT: Identify PSDs with military utility
 - Establish reliability/Maintainability baseline data for suitable PSDs
 - Phase 2: DOD Hazards of Electromagnetic Radiation to Ordnance (HERO)
 - INPUT: Subject PSDs to standard DoD HERO evaluation
 - Develop procedures for safe operation of PSDs in the vicinity of ordnance
 - OUTPUT: Identify PSDs that are in compliance with HERO certification
 - Phase 3: Operationally Test PSDs
 - INPUT: Certified PSDs integrated with Distribution Portfolio Management (DPfM) approved Automated Information System (AIS)
 - Permanently affix & track select Containerized Ammunition Distribution System (CADS) and other program managed containers in designated COCOMS using JIPMS, through existing ITV systems
 - OUTPUT: CADS and other program managed containers Asset Visibility
 - Improve stakeholder confidence in AIT and JIPMS
 - Establish variety of suitable PSDs for CADS and other program managed containers
- Funding Approach & Interactions
 - Cooperative Research & Development Agreement (CRADA)
 - Partner with industry to increase understanding of how satellite technology can be best utilized with Corporate Service IT solution
 - CRADA is a written agreement between a private company and a government agency to work together on a project
 - Allow CRADA partners to keep research results emerging from the CRADA confidential and free from disclosure through the Freedom of Information Act for up to 5 years
 - Vendor funded testing
 - RDT&E

- Approved for \$243K for FY '09, \$250K for FY10 (Total \$493K)
 - AIS Integration
 - Government Site Visits
 - Government Ops Test Development and Implementation
 - Hardware and Messaging Purchase
- USTC J6, USTC J5/4-I and GCM will continue to work testing effort

Associate Intermodal Platform (AIP) Requirements Discussion (TCJ5/4)

- JIWG asked by Distribution Steering Group (DSG) to look at other potential uses and requirements for AIP. AIP intended to mitigate loss of 463L pallets but Air Staff business case analysis determine AIP not cost effective and no program to produce AIP by USAF would be established.
- Services agreed to send out information packet on AIP to their major commands to determine if there is any interest.
- Features:
 - AIP rides on top of 463L
 - Consumable/expendable item
 - AIP removed from 463L at APOD
 - Seamlessly intermodal from surface-to-air-to-surface
 - Dimensions: 82x102x8 inches
 - Four-way forklift capability
 - Rated load: 6,000 pounds
 - Weight: ~200 pounds
 - RFID slot – remains with AIP to destination

Policy Update

- DTR update due 3rd quarter of FY09
- JS J4 will raise issue of exempting JP 4-01.7, Joint Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures for Use of Intermodal Containers in Joint Operations, from being retired

Other Issues

- Container management training issue needs to be worked. Should be addressed in doctrine.
- Need a codified, standardized container management process at intra-theater level. Need a single manager.
- There was a good deal of discussion about USC06. The group expressed interest in receiving a status brief at the next JIWG.